Attorney's Docket No.: 07844-0596001 / P549

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Oliver Goldman Art Unit: 2137

Patent No.: 7,370,206 Examiner: Techane Gergiso

Issue Date: May 6, 2008 Conf. No.: 7963

Serial No.: 10/656,593

Filed: September 4, 2003

Title : SELF-SIGNING ELECTRONIC DOCUMENTS

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.705(d)

Applicant hereby petitions for reconsideration of the Patent Term Adjustment (PTA) accorded the above-referenced patent application. The Issue Notification mailed April 16, 2008 for the above-referenced application indicates that the Patent Term Adjustment at issuance is 610 days. Reconsideration of the Patent Term Adjustment calculation to increase PTO Delay from 610 days to 1127 days, and to increase Total PTA from 610 to 1127 days, is respectfully requested.

REVIEW OF PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION

A review of the Patent Term Adjustment History in the PAIR system shows that the United States Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) calculated the Patent Term Adjustment (PTA) as follows:

- The PTO mailed a delayed 14-month first non-final Office Action on April 5, 2006, thereby according a PTO Delay of 517 days. Applicant does not dispute herein this patent term adjustment calculation for this PTO "A Delay."
- 2) The application was filed on September 4, 2003 and the patent issued on May 6, 2008, more than three years later. Only 93 days of PTO delay were calculated for issuance of the patent after three years from filing. Applicant respectfully submits that the PTO's calculation of this PTO "B Delay" contains an error and that the

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EFS-WEB FILING

Applicant: Oliver Goldman Attorney's Docket No.: 07844-0596001 / P549

Patent No.: 7,370,206
Issued: May 6, 2008
Serial No.: 10/656,593

Filed: September 4, 2003

Page : 2 of 3

correct PTO Delay for issuance beyond three years from filing is 610 days, as outlined further below.

REMARKS

Applicant has calculated the PTA in accordance with the clear intent of Congress. As outlined in Wyeth v. Dudas (Wyeth et al. v. Jon W. Dudas, U.S. District Court, D.C., CA No. 07-1492, Mem. Op. September 30, 2008), the only way that periods of time can "overlap" is if they occur on the same day, and if an "A delay" occurs on one calendar day and a "B delay" occurs on another, they do not overlap, and 35 U.S.C. § 154(b)(2)(A) does not limit the extension to one day. "A Delays" are defined as delays by the Office under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A), which guarantees prompt PTO response. "B Delays" are defined as delays by the Office under 35 U.S.C. § 154(b)(1)(B), which guarantees no more than 3-year application pendency.

The PTA for the instant patent, as currently calculated and shown on the face of the patent, relies on the premise that the application was delayed under § 154(b)(1)(B) before the initial three-year period expired.

The Wyeth court determined that this construction cannot be squared with the language of § 154(b)(1)(B), which applies "if the issue of an original patent is delayed due to the failure of the United States Patent and Trademark Office to issue a patent within 3 years," and that "B delay" begins once the PTO has failed to issue a patent within three years, not before.

In the current PTA calculation, the Office has only given credit for the larger of "A Delay" or "B Delay," rather than the combined sum of "A Delay" and "B Delay" (which does not include any days after three years from filing where "A" and "B" delays overlap).

In this patent, "A Delay" should be calculated as 517 days and "B Delay" should be calculated as 610 days. Thus, the total PTO Delay should be calculated as 1127 days.

In consideration of the events described above, Applicant believes the PTA calculation of 610 days is incorrect. As such, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the patent term adjustment in the following manner:

Attorney's Docket No.: 07844-0596001 / P549 Applicant: Oliver Goldman

Patent No.: 7,370,206 Issued : May 6, 2008 Serial No.: 10/656,593 Filed : September 4, 2003

: 3 of 3

Pagė

- Total PTO Delay should be calculated as 1127 days; 1)
- 2) Total Applicant Delay should be calculated as 0 days; and
- 3) Total PTA should be calculated as 1127 days.

Applicant notes that this patent is not subject to a terminal disclaimer.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any required fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account 06-1050, referencing the attorney docket number shown above.

Respectfully submitted,

November 7, 2008

Spencer C. Patterson Reg. No. 43,849

Fish & Richardson P.C. 1717 Main Street **Suite 5000** Dallas, TX 75201

Telephone: (214) 747-5070 Facsimile: (214) 747-2091

90315774.doc